



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/837,884	04/18/2001	M. Russel Merchen	124521-1000	8347
7590 09/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Gardere Wynne Sewell, LLP			WU, ALLEN S	
3000 Thanksgiving Tower 1601 Elm Street Suite 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201-4767			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/837,884	MERCHEN, M. RUSS	SFI			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Allen S. Wu	2135				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	i	· . 1	SS			
Period fo	•						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	April 2001.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	2					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
*.	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 4/18/01 is/are: a)	•	·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	3 ()	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 121/4\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Inform Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/lor No(s)/Mail Date 4.	F-7	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 610 (of fig 6), 910 (of fig 9), 2055, 2060, 2065, 2070, 2075, 2080 (of fig 20). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2135

3. Claims 1, 4-8, 9, 12-16, 17, 20-24, 26, 28-32, 33, 36-40, 41, 44-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeBry, US Patent 6,385,728.

As per claims, 1, 17, and 33, DeBry discloses means of authorizing electronic data transfer (abstract) comprising:

receiving an authentication request containing a digital certificate from a requesting device via a communication link (506, fig 5 and col 8 In 50-53)

determining whether the digital certificate is valid (507, fig 5 and col 8 In 53-63);

creating an authentication response (508, fig 5) denying authentication request when the digital certificate is not valid, or approving the authentication request when the digital certificate is valid (col 8 ln 58-60);

sending the authentication response to the requesting device via the communication link (508, fig 5, col 8 ln 58-65);

storing information about the electronic data transfer, the digital certificate and at least a portion of the authentication response (col 8 ln 6-col 9 ln 4; the user must forward such data to a printer for obtaining the file, thus such information storing is inherent to the teachings of DeBry).

Ås per claims 2, 18, and 34, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). DeBry further discloses wherein the

Art Unit: 2135

authentication request and the authentication response are transmitted via encrypted message (secure environment, col 2 ln 34-45 and col 8 ln 40-49).

As per claims 4, 20, and 36, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1 above) and further discloses wherein the authentication response includes a date/time stamp (col 7 I 28-30).

As per claims 5, 21, and 37, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1 above) and further discloses wherein the digital receipt includes a digital receipt (will call certificate (col 8 In 50-65).

As per claims 6, 22, and 38, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 5 above) and further discloses wherein the digital receipt includes an identification of an originator of the electronic data transfer (user ID, col 7 ln 37-38).

As per claims 7, 23, and 39, As per claims 4, 20, and 36, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1 above) and further discloses wherein the digital receipt includes an identification of a recipient of the electronic data transfer (printer ID, col 7 ln 39-40).

Art Unit: 2135

As per claims 8, 24, and 40, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1 above) and further discloses wherein the information about the electronic data transfer includes an electronic document (a document printed, col 5 ln 51-53).

As per claims, 9, 25, and 41, DeBry discloses means of authorizing electronic data transfer (abstract) comprising:

receiving an authentication request containing a digital certificate and information about the electronic data transfer from a requesting device via a communication link (506, fig 5 and col 8 ln 50-53; such information about the electronic data transfer must be included to specify the correct document to be obtained)

determining whether the digital certificate is valid (507, fig 5 and col 8 In 53-63);

creating an authentication response (508, fig 5) denying authentication request when the digital certificate is not valid, or approving the authentication request when the digital certificate is valid (col 8 ln 58-60);

sending the authentication response to the requesting device via the communication link (508, fig 5, col 8 ln 58-65);

creating a digital receipt for the electronic data transfer when the digital certificate is valid (will call certificate; col 8 In 58-60)

Art Unit: 2135

storing information about the electronic data transfer, the digital certificate and at least a portion of the authentication response (col 8 In 6-col 9 In 4; the user must forward such data to a printer for obtaining the file, thus such information storing is inherent to the teachings of DeBry).

Claims 10, 26, and 42, recite similar limitations as described in claims 2, 18, and 34 as described above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 12, 28, and 44, recite similar limitations as described in claims 4, 20, and 36 as described above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 13, 29, and 45, recite similar limitations as described in claims 6, 22, and 38 as described above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 14, 30, and 46, recite similar limitations as described in claims 7, 23, and 39 as described above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claims 15, 31, and 47, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 9). As for where in the digital receipt includes an action taken relating to the electronic transfer, DeBry further discloses that such a receipt is used a means of validating printing of a document (col 7 In 7-20 and col 8 In 58-65), and thus an action (validation of printing) taken relating to the electronic transfer.

Claims 16, 32, and 48, recite similar limitations as described in claims 8, 24, and 40 as described above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 11, 19, 27, 35, and 43, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBry, US Patent 6,385,728.

As per claims, 3, 19, and 35, DeBry discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). DeBry does not explicitly teach wherein step of determining further comprising sending a validation request for the digital certificate to a validation authority; and receiving a validation response from the validation authority indicating whether or not the digital certificate is valid.

Art Unit: 2135

However, DeBry discloses an alternative embodiment comprising of determining whether a digital certificate is valid comprising; sending a validation request for the digital certificate to a validation authority (col 9 ln 15-20). As for receiving a validation response from the validation authority indicating whether or not the digital certificate is valid, DeBry further discloses that the server using a validation authority to authenticate the certificate (col 9 ln 15-19). Such a validation response is inherent to such network communications involving authentication of a digital certificate by a validation authority.

Both the print server and file server of DeBry are servers connected to a user through the network able to determine validity of digital certificates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the validation means of the print server within the file server of DeBry because it would have created a more secure means of validation from a trusted third party.

Claims 11, 27, and 43 recite the same limitations as described in claims 3, 19, and 35 above and are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eschelbeck et al, US Patent 6,611,869, discloses use of digital certificates for authorization of electronic transfers.

Art Unit: 2135

Tycksen, Jr. et al, US Patent 6,189,097, discloses a digital certificate for use with electronic transaction.

Francoeur et al, US Publication 2002/0065695, discloses an electronic transaction involving use of digital receipts.

Berman, US Publication 2002/0052851, discloses a means of electronic transaction using digital certificates and encryption.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen S. Wu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Wu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2135 ASW

CUTAMASORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2103